

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
3 HOUSTON DIVISION

4 \_\_\_\_\_ )  
5 TAMMY ALVARADO, ET AL., )  
6 Plaintiffs, )  
7 VS. ) CIVIL ACTION NO.  
8 CLARK, LOVE & HUTSON, G.P., ) 4:19-CV-2148  
9 ET AL., )  
10 Defendants. ) 9:05 A.M.  
11 \_\_\_\_\_ )

12 MOTION HEARING  
13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANDREW S. HANEN  
14 AUGUST 12, 2019

15 APPEARANCES:

16 **FOR PLAINTIFFS:**

17 MR. JAMES MILLER BEGGS  
18 Beggs Landers Law Firm PLLC  
19 140 East Irving Boulevard  
20 Irving, Texas 75060  
21 (972)253-8000

22 MS. LYNDA JOY LANDERS  
23 Landers Law Firm  
24 1207 West University, Suite 102  
25 McKinney, Texas 75069  
26 (972)529-5707

27 **FOR DEFENDANTS:**

28 MR. DALE JEFFERSON  
29 MR. RAUL SUAZO  
30 Martin, Disiere, Jefferson & Wisdom L.L.P.  
31 808 Travis Street, 20th Floor  
32 Houston, Texas 77002  
33 (713)632-1700

34 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript  
35 produced by computer.

1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

2 **COURT REPORTER:**

3 Heather Alcaraz, CSR, FCRR, RMR  
4 Official Court Reporter  
5 515 Rusk, Suite 8004  
6 Houston, Texas 77002  
7 (713)250-5584

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:05:49 1                   **THE COURT:** 19-cv-2148, Alvarado versus Clark, Love.

09:06:18 2                   Pick a side. It doesn't matter to me.

09:06:22 3                   All right. Let me caution y'all: This courtroom does  
4 not have very good acoustics. So don't get away from a  
5 microphone.

09:06:33 6                   All right. We're here basically because we keep  
7 having -- I think we're at cross points on whether the complaint  
8 is sealed or unsealed.

09:06:47 9                   **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

09:06:47 10                  **THE REPORTER:** I'm sorry. What was your name?

09:06:47 11                  **MR. BEGGS:** Jim Beggs for the plaintiffs, Your Honor.

09:06:49 12                  **THE COURT:** And who's here for the defendants? I  
13 should have asked.

09:06:52 14                  **MR. JEFFERSON:** That's okay. Dale Jefferson and Raul  
15 Suazo for the defendants, Clark --

09:06:55 16                  **MR. BEGGS:** And Linda --

09:06:55 17                  **MR. JEFFERSON:** -- Love & Hutson.

09:06:56 18                  **MR. BEGGS:** -- Landers for the plaintiffs, Your Honor.

09:07:02 19                  **THE COURT:** All right. I've read the motion to  
20 unseal, and, of course, I sealed it out of an abundance of  
21 caution because I -- I mean, I don't want the plaintiffs sued  
22 for breach of the settlement agreement. I've read the motion to  
23 unseal, and, basically, it -- it -- it stresses how critical  
24 some of these allegations are, and I -- and it made me wonder:  
25 Are we somehow confusing a motion to seal with a motion to

1 strike?

0 9 : 0 7 : 3 4 2 I'm not striking those allegations. I'm sealing them.

0 9 : 0 7 : 3 8 3 **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

0 9 : 0 7 : 3 9 4 **THE COURT:** And so what's the reason they -- if they  
5 have a confidentiality agreement in the settlement agreement  
6 they reached with the defendants in the prior case, why do you  
7 want them to breach that agreement?

0 9 : 0 7 : 5 3 8 **MR. BEGGS:** Well, Your Honor, it's our contention that  
9 the defendants breached their agreement from the get-go by  
10 failing to disclose --

0 9 : 0 7 : 5 9 11 **THE COURT:** The defendants aren't parties to that  
12 agreement.

0 9 : 0 8 : 0 3 13 **MR. BEGGS:** The release agreement, Your Honor?

0 9 : 0 8 : 0 4 14 **THE COURT:** The defendants aren't party to the  
15 settlement in the underlying case.

0 9 : 0 8 : 1 1 16 **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor. My clients didn't have  
17 informed consent to enter that agreement, though, because of  
18 that breach.

0 9 : 0 8 : 1 5 19 **THE COURT:** No. I understand why -- I mean, I  
20 understand your lawsuit against them --

0 9 : 0 8 : 1 9 21 **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor. All right.

0 9 : 0 8 : 2 0 22 **THE COURT:** -- but they -- your clients have entered a  
23 contract with the people they sued in the underlying case or  
24 the -- I don't know if they settled or actually sued. Maybe it  
25 was a claim.

0 9 : 0 8 : 3 2 1                    But they entered a settlement agreement -- if I'm  
2 wrong, tell me, because I'm -- maybe I'm misunderstanding it.

0 9 : 0 8 : 3 7 3                    As part of that settlement agreement not with the  
4 defendants, but with the drug company --

0 9 : 0 8 : 4 3 5                    **MR. BEGGS:** Drug company, yes, Your Honor.

0 9 : 0 8 : 4 4 6                    **THE COURT:** -- part of that settlement agreement  
7 contained a confidentiality agreement.

0 9 : 0 8 : 4 9 8                    **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

0 9 : 0 8 : 4 9 9                    **THE COURT:** And you filing an unsealed pleading  
10 breaches that confidentiality agreement. So your clients, right  
11 now, theoretically -- I'm not saying it's going to happen, but,  
12 theoretically, could be sued by the drug company saying, Give us  
13 our money back --

0 9 : 0 9 : 0 7 14                    **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

0 9 : 0 9 : 0 7 15                    **THE COURT:** -- you breached the agreement, and your  
16 clients would have to do that because they did breach the  
17 agreement by filing the unsealed pleading.

0 9 : 0 9 : 1 7 18                    **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

0 9 : 0 9 : 1 7 19                    **THE COURT:** And then you would be liable for doing  
20 that.

0 9 : 0 9 : 2 2 21                    **MR. BEGGS:** Don't want that, Your Honor.

0 9 : 0 9 : 2 3 22                    **THE COURT:** Well, I know. That's why I sealed it.

0 9 : 0 9 : 2 4 23                    **MR. BEGGS:** Thank you, Judge.

0 9 : 0 9 : 2 5 24                    **THE COURT:** So I --

0 9 : 0 9 : 2 6 25                    **MR. BEGGS:** Perhaps we can alleviate some of this

1 difficulty.

09:09:30 2 **THE COURT:** Yeah.

09:09:31 3 **MR. BEGGS:** In the response to our motion to unseal,  
4 the defendants cited a case. We have subsequently filed *Johnson*  
5 *versus Clark, Love & Hutson* as a replica of something that could  
6 be filed and not got at the agreement. And then they went on to  
7 say, on page 7 of the response, that they would be agreeable and  
8 not object to our amending and curing that defect with regard to  
9 the confidentiality agreement.

09:09:54 10 We would be perfectly fine with doing that. We can  
11 amend and -- and delete any kind of reference to the  
12 confidentiality agreement and any violation. We're glad to do  
13 that.

09:10:04 14 **THE COURT:** Well, just -- y'all get together. Let  
15 me -- if you're going to do that, and I'm okay with you doing  
16 that, make sure that -- you guys actually, probably, need to  
17 talk because, I mean, if you file another one -- I mean, look at  
18 the confidentiality agreement. I don't have it in front of me,  
19 but whatever it is, I don't want you to file another amended  
20 complaint which breaches it.

09:10:26 21 **MR. BEGGS:** No, Your Honor.

09:10:28 22 **THE COURT:** I mean, otherwise, you guys will be  
23 defendants, and I'll have yet a third law firm in here.

09:10:36 24 **MR. BEGGS:** In their response, Judge, they state that  
25 we: (Reading) Omit virtually all the violative language. It's

1 possible -- it is possible to have a publicly filed lawsuit  
2 against underlying mesh counsel that is not --

09:10:48 3 **THE REPORTER:** I'm sorry. Slow down.

09:10:48 4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **MR. BEGGS:** (Reading) It is possible to have a  
mirror-image lawsuit by the same attorneys who omitted virtually  
all of the violative language. It is possible to have a  
publicly filed lawsuit against underlying mesh counsel that is  
not a walking violation of the settlement agreement.

09:11:01 9 And we're glad to do that.

09:11:02 10  
11 **THE COURT:** Okay. All right. Well, you have my  
permission to.

09:11:05 12 **MR. BEGGS:** Thank you, Judge.

09:11:05 13 **THE COURT:** All right. Let's talk for a minute --

09:11:07 14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22 **MR. JEFFERSON:** And, Your Honor, just a point of  
clarification for the record, if I might. Because while he has  
accurately quoted the motion, the motion says "virtually all."  
So I don't want the record to seemingly reflect that somehow or  
another we believe that their newly filed petition in the  
*Johnson* case that they filed, which landed in Judge Hughes'  
court on July the 23rd, is completely and 100 percent compliant  
such that, if they filed the exact same verbiage as an amended  
complaint, that we would still not be here.

09:11:40 23  
24  
25 So I want to make sure that that is very clear here on  
the record because as this Court has already -- has noted in  
general, there are problems down the line, and we've already

1 faced this. We received a show cause order from the state court  
2 that approved the settlement because my clients had to disclose  
3 to the mesh manufacturers and to the special master and to the  
4 trial court what took place, and we did that. And about three  
5 or four weeks later, we got a show cause motion, and so my  
6 clients had to pay me to go down and appear before the court.

09:12:18 7 And we're still awaiting the court's ruling, but to  
8 appear before the court to explain to the court and to the  
9 special masters why this initial complaint was not a violation  
10 of the settlement agreement or -- or more specifically, rather,  
11 why it was not the fault of Clark, Love & Hutson that this  
12 confidential information was disclosed in the lawsuit because  
13 everybody agreed that it did violate the settlement agreement.

09:12:48 14 And so I want to make sure, since we've already  
15 suffered that harm once, that the record is very clear that we  
16 are not contending that the *Johnson* case is perfect. Our point  
17 there was to note that the mere existence of the *Johnson* case  
18 filing goes to show that all of the reasons that they had for  
19 filing a public complaint don't exist because they empirically  
20 disproved their own argument.

09:13:15 21 **THE COURT:** Okay. But I'm less interested in, right  
22 now, who's at fault. I just want to fix it --

09:13:23 23 **MR. BEGGS:** Thank you, Judge.

09:13:24 24 **THE COURT:** -- so no one else gets sued, and so we can  
25 go down the line in a lawsuit.

09:13:29 1 Tell me, though: Do I have the lower number?

09:13:33 2 **MR. JEFFERSON:** You do.

09:13:34 3 **THE COURT:** And is it the same allegations?

09:13:36 4 **MR. JEFFERSON:** It is -- well, I'll let Mr. Beggs  
5 correct me if I'm wrong, but -- but the instant lawsuit was  
6 filed I believe on either June 13th or June 14th, and the  
7 single-plaintiff case, *Johnson*, was filed on July the 23rd, and  
8 it landed in Judge Hughes' court. We're going to file a motion  
9 to consolidate the later-filed action into this court.

09:14:01 10 **THE COURT:** Okay. All right. Y'all have not had your  
11 Rule 16 conference yet?

09:14:07 12 **MR. JEFFERSON:** We have not, Your Honor.

09:14:08 13 **THE COURT:** All right. Let's talk about, for a  
14 minute -- the chances are, if you file that motion, it'll be  
15 granted since it's the same -- not the same parties, but it's  
16 the same defendants and same allegations --

09:14:22 17 **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

09:14:22 18 **THE COURT:** -- same lawyers. You know, obviously, I  
19 can't predict what Judge Hughes will do or won't do, but you're  
20 probably going to end up in -- with both cases here.

09:14:34 21 We're going to have this confidentiality problem  
22 throughout the case. I mean, because you're going -- it's going  
23 to come up in depositions. It's going to come up -- and so what  
24 I'm suggesting to you is that you -- you get together, agree on  
25 a protective order, agree how to handle this so that, you know,

1 we don't have to seal everything, but we can seal portions or  
2 whatever. And -- and if you guys agree on a protective order,  
3 I'll sign it.

09:15:06 4 I mean, I'm not going to give you a hard time that --  
5 you know, how it's phrased or whatever, but -- because if y'all  
6 agree -- if you don't agree, then I think -- you know, then  
7 I'll -- I'll rule. But I think you're going to need one.

09:15:19 8 **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

09:15:19 9 **MR. JEFFERSON:** Well, Judge, we're going to need you  
10 to rule because we sent them a protective order on June the  
11 28th. When we had our telephonic hearing, you brought up this  
12 point, and you said -- you said the exact same thing. I  
13 informed the Court that we had already tendered them an agreed  
14 protective order, and this is actually detailed in my response  
15 motion.

09:15:40 16 I have a plethora of e-mails to Mr. Beggs saying, "I'm  
17 awaiting your response on the protective order. I'm awaiting  
18 your response to the protective order." And more than a month  
19 after I sent it, I finally got a response. It was two  
20 sentences. The first sentence says, "We don't agree with the  
21 wording of your protective order." The second sentence says,  
22 "Can we talk about this tomorrow?" I respond and say, "Call me  
23 in the morning." I've never heard back.

09:16:04 24 I detailed this. You already have a copy of our  
25 proposed protective order. And so given the fact that I gave it

1 to them in June, and it's the middle of August and I've gotten  
2 no response, I think we're just going to ask you to rule --

09:16:17 3 **THE COURT:** Well, you're both here now.

09:16:20 4 **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

09:16:21 5 **THE COURT:** Now might be a good time to sit down and  
6 work this out. It's -- you can't -- I'm not saying you can't.  
7 I mean, lawyers are very creative. You can come up with ways  
8 that maybe I haven't thought of. But, I mean, as a practical  
9 matter, both of y'all need this protection because --

09:16:39 10 **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

09:16:40 11 **THE COURT:** -- otherwise, you know -- you don't want  
12 Mr. Jefferson or you, Mr. Beggs, to be sued --

09:16:48 13 **MR. BEGGS:** No.

09:16:48 14 **THE COURT:** -- just because you conducted a  
15 deposition, you know, and what's -- I mean, I can't predict  
16 this, but if -- if the defendant in the underlying case actually  
17 got horsy and tried to get their money back, your clients would  
18 really be mad. Right now they may be mad that they didn't get  
19 enough money or they didn't want to settle or whatever, but if  
20 they ended up having to give the money back, they're going to be  
21 really mad.

09:17:17 22 **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

09:17:18 23 **MR. JEFFERSON:** No, it -- it's a big problem, and it's  
24 highlighted by the fact that we still have an attorney-client  
25 relationship with -- with two of these ladies because their

1 settlements have not yet reached the final judgment stage. So  
 2 Clark, Love & Hutson is kind of on the horns of that very  
 3 dilemma, which is why we proposed a protective order back in  
 4 June.

09:17:40 5 **MR. BEGGS:** We disagree with that assessment,  
 6 Your Honor, that they still represent them.

09:17:43 7 **THE COURT:** Okay. But regardless of whether that --  
 8 it's still going to be a problem throughout this case. Here's  
 9 what I think: I think y'all need to get together, as I said.  
 10 Try to work this out, but if you can't work it out, you know,  
 11 this week, you know, file your motion, and I will come up with  
 12 something that -- that works.

09:18:09 13 I don't think it needs to be incredibly complicated.  
 14 I mean, you know, I get these orders in patent cases where, you  
 15 know, somebody reads this, you have to kill them, you know,  
 16 or -- you don't have to do it that -- but, I mean, you can try  
 17 this case without that, and -- and I -- as I said, I'll go along  
 18 with that.

09:18:33 19 Do -- Rhonda, do you know when their Rule 16  
 20 conference is?

09:18:39 21 **THE CASE MANAGER:** I can look it up real quick.

09:18:41 22 **MR. BEGGS:** November 7th, I believe, Your Honor.

09:18:42 23 **MR. JEFFERSON:** I think it's in the first week of  
 24 November, perhaps.

09:18:44 25 **THE COURT:** All right. That's on. Why don't you go

1 ahead and file -- if you're going to file a motion to  
2 consolidate that case, go ahead and do that so we can get them  
3 all on the same -- you know, get them together and get them all  
4 in the same timeline.

09:18:56 5 **MR. BEGGS:** It's November 7, Your Honor.

09:18:58 6 **MR. JEFFERSON:** Yeah.

09:18:58 7 **THE COURT:** Okay. And then -- and then I may do the  
8 Rule 16 conference so that we can get this -- you know, make  
9 sure everybody understands how we're going to do stuff and make  
10 sure that I understand what the understanding is so I don't,  
11 later on, have a -- you know, some kind of motion for sanctions  
12 or motion to compel or something that one side's not doing what  
13 the other side thinks. I mean --

09:19:25 14 **MR. JEFFERSON:** Thank you, Your Honor. I think that  
15 will be helpful. And if there is a way that we can work with  
16 your staff to perhaps garner an earlier hearing date --

09:19:32 17 **THE COURT:** Well, I -- that's why I'm -- that will  
18 happen once we decide the motion to consolidate. That's why I'm  
19 telling you to go ahead and file it.

09:19:41 20 **MR. JEFFERSON:** We'll do that.

09:19:43 21 **THE COURT:** You know, that's the first step, if  
22 there's another case hanging out there. But -- but, you know,  
23 this is -- there's no reason -- I don't want either side here to  
24 get in trouble vis-a-vis the underlying case. Let's -- we can  
25 try this case without that happening, and -- and it's just

1 simpler if there's one judge because, you know, I may approve a  
2 protective order, but Judge Hughes might not --

09:20:17 3 **MR. JEFFERSON:** Exactly.

09:20:18 4 **THE COURT:** -- or vice versa, and then you'd be  
5 operating under two different rules, and you -- you're going to  
6 have to remember which case -- which rule applies, and that's --  
7 to me, that doesn't make any sense.

09:20:28 8 So what makes sense, to me, is try to work out the  
9 protective order, and go ahead and file your motion to  
10 consolidate.

09:20:36 11 **MR. BEGGS:** Very well.

09:20:36 12 **MR. JEFFERSON:** We will do that, Judge, and if we're  
13 unable to come to an agreement, then each side, I guess, can  
14 file their competing orders. Hopefully, we can come to an  
15 agreement, and -- and we will get that motion to consolidate  
16 filed.

09:20:50 17 **THE COURT:** Okay. I'm going to give the plaintiffs,  
18 if you want to file an amended complaint -- but, again, be  
19 careful what's in it.

09:20:59 20 **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

09:21:03 21 **THE COURT:** I'll give you till the 23rd, and then the  
22 defendants can have till the 13th of September to respond to  
23 that either by answer or motion to dismiss. But don't wait on  
24 that for the motion to consolidate because it's probably better  
25 if we get it -- because, you know, as far as I know,

1 Judge Hughes may turn around and have a Rule 16 conference  
2 tomorrow.

09:21:30 3 **MR. JEFFERSON:** Well, actually, the Rule 16  
4 conference, he already set that, and it's actually before yours.

09:21:34 5 **THE COURT:** That's what I --

09:21:36 6 **MR. JEFFERSON:** I think it's set on October the 22nd  
7 or 23rd.

09:21:39 8 **THE COURT:** I knew -- we are not coordinated enough  
9 to -- to have them on the same day or anything like that. So, I  
10 mean, that -- you're expecting too much from your federal  
11 government.

09:21:48 12 But -- but let's go ahead and do this. I mean, once  
13 we get the framework in place -- and I know there may be hard  
14 feelings on both sides or not, but setting that aside, this is a  
15 simple case, really.

09:22:05 16 **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

09:22:07 17 **MR. JEFFERSON:** And finally, just for point of  
18 clarification, Your Honor, I know that we have some homework to  
19 do, as you have laid out, and we will certainly do that. But in  
20 the interim time, I just want to make sure, for the record, that  
21 the complaint will remain sealed.

09:22:20 22 **THE COURT:** Yes. Yes. But -- but -- yeah. You --  
23 look at that confidentiality agreement. You guys are smart  
24 enough to write around it --

09:22:32 25 **MR. BEGGS:** Yes, Your Honor.

**THE COURT:** -- and not violate it.

**MR. BEGGS:** Very well.

**THE COURT:** And -- and maybe the -- I'm not ordering you to do this, but it might be good -- I mean, they know you're going to file it. Send them a copy of it before you file it and say, "Hey, do you see anything in here that violates it?"

**MR. BEGGS:** Sure.

**MR. JEFFERSON:** We're happy to do that, and then I'll -- and, likewise, before -- I'll hand them another copy of our proposed protective order.

**MR. BEGGS:** Thank you.

**THE COURT:** Well, maybe y'all can just sit here for a minute. I'm going to get off the bench here. Y'all can sit here and maybe hammer that out because, you know, it's not -- protective order's not case dispositive. It's to protect both sides.

Okay. All right. Thank you.

**MR. BEGGS:** Thank you, Judge.

**THE COURT:** Thank y'all.

(Proceedings concluded at 9:23 a.m.)

-○○○-

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript  
from the record of proceedings in the above matter.

Date: September 13, 2019

/s/ Heather Alcaraz  
Signature of Court Reporter